

Mathematical details of the test statistics used by `kanova`

Rolf Turner

16th March 2025

1 Introduction

This note presents in some detail the formulae for the test statistics used by the `kanova()` function from the `kanova` package. These statistics are based on, and generalise, the ideas discussed in Diggle et al. (2000) and in Hahn (2012). They consist of sums of integrals (over the argument r of the K -function) of the usual sort of analysis of variance “regression” sums of squares, down-weighted over r by the estimated variance of the quantities being squared. The limits of integration r_0 and r_1 *could* be specified in the software (e.g. in the related `spatstat` function `studpermu.test()` they can be specified in the argument `rinterval`). However there is currently no provision for this in `kanova()`, and r_0 and r_1 are taken to be the min and max of the r component of the “fv” object returned by `Kest()`. Usually r_0 is 0 and r_1 is 1/4 of the length of the shorter side of the bounding box of the observation window in question.

There are test statistics for:

- one-way analysis of variance (one grouping factor),
- main effects in a two-way (two grouping factors) additive model, and
- a model with interaction versus an additive model in a two-way context.

2 The data

. In the context of a single classification factor A, with a levels, the data consist of K -functions $K_{ij}(r)$, $i = 1, \dots, a$, $k = 1, \dots, n_i$. The function $K_{ij}(r)$ is constructed (estimated) from an observed point pattern X_{ij} .

In the context of two classification factors A and B, with a levels and b levels respectively, the data consist of K -functions $K_{ijk}(r)$, $i = 1, \dots, a$, $j = 1, \dots, b$, $k = 1, \dots, n_{ij}$. The function $K_{ijk}(r)$ is constructed (estimated) from an observed point pattern X_{ijk} .

The observations have associated *weights*. The weight associated with $K_{ij}(r)$, in the single classification context, is $w_{ij} = m_{ij}^\eta$ where m_{ij} is the number of points in the pattern X_{ij} . The exponent η is a constant that may be specified by the user of the `kanova` package. In the code η is denoted by `expo`, and defaults to 2.

In the context of two classification factors, the weight associated with $K_{ijk}(r)$ is $w_{ijk} = m_{ijk}^\eta$ where m_{ijk} is the number of points in the pattern X_{ijk} .

The test statistics used are calculated in terms of various weighted means of the observed K -functions. Explicitly we define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{K}_{i\cdot}(r) &= \frac{1}{w_{i\cdot}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} w_{ij} K_{ij}(r) \\ \tilde{K}_{\cdot\cdot}(r) &= \frac{1}{w_{\cdot\cdot}} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} w_{ij} K_{ij}(r) \\ &= \frac{1}{w_{\cdot\cdot}} \sum_{i=1}^a w_{i\cdot} \tilde{K}_{i\cdot}(r) \\ \tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} \frac{w_{ijk}}{w_{ij\cdot}} K_{ijk}(r) \\ \tilde{K}_{i\cdot\cdot}(r) &= \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{w_{ij\cdot}}{w_{i\cdot\cdot}} \tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r) \\ &= \frac{1}{w_{i\cdot\cdot}} \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} w_{ijk} K_{ijk}(r)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{\cdot j}(r) &= \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{w_{i\cdot}}{w_{\cdot j}} \tilde{K}_{i\cdot}(r) \\
&= \frac{1}{w_{\cdot j}} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} w_{ijk} K_{ijk}(r) \text{ and} \\
\tilde{K}_{\dots}(r) &= \sum_{i=i}^a \frac{w_{i\cdot}}{w_{\dots}} \tilde{K}_{i\cdot}(r) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{w_{\cdot j}}{w_{\dots}} \tilde{K}_{\cdot j}(r) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{w_{ij\cdot}}{w_{\dots}} \tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} \frac{w_{ijk}}{w_{\dots}} K_{ijk}(r)
\end{aligned}$$

3 Variance functions

The variances of the K -functions are assumed to be proportional to functions which are constant over indices within each cell of the model. In the context of a single classification factor, the variance of $K_{ij}(r)$ is taken to be $\sigma_i^2(r)/w_{ij}$. It is assumed that under the null hypothesis of “no A effect”, the functions $\sigma_i^2(r)$ are all equal to a single function, $\sigma^2(r)$. I.e. they do not vary with i . In the context of two classification factors, the variance of $K_{ijk}(r)$ is taken to be $\sigma_{ij}^2(r)/w_{ijk}$.

It is assumed that under the null hypothesis of “no A effect”, the functions $\sigma_{ij}^2(r)$ do not vary with i , and for each j are all equal to a single function $\sigma_j^2(r)$.

4 Estimating the variance functions

In the setting of a single classification factor, the variance function (unique under the null hypothesis), $\sigma^2(r)$ is estimated by

$$s^2(r) = \frac{1}{n_{\cdot} - a} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} w_{ij} (K_{ij}(r) - \tilde{K}_{i\cdot}(r))^2 .$$

Under the null hypothesis this is an unbiased estimate of $\sigma^2(r)$.

In the setting of two classification factors, where we are testing for an A effect, allowing for a B effect, the variance functions (depending only on the B effect under the null hypothesis), $\sigma_j^2(r)$) are estimated by

$$s_j^2(r) = \frac{1}{n_{\cdot j}} \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} w_{ijk} (K_{ijk}(r) - \tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r))^2 .$$

Under the null hypothesis these are a unbiased estimates of the $\sigma_j^2(r)$.

In the setting of two classification factors, where we are testing for interaction against an additive model (unlikely to arise as these circumstances may be) we need estimates of $\sigma_{ij}^2(r)$. These are given by

$$s_{ij}^2(r) = \frac{1}{n_{ij} - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} w_{ijk} (K_{ijk}(r) - \tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r))^2 .$$

These are a unbiased estimates of the $\sigma_{ij}^2(r)$.

5 The test statistics

In the setting of a single classification factor A, the statistic for testing for an A effect is

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^a n_i \int_{r_0}^{r_1} (\tilde{K}_i(r) - \tilde{K}(r))^2 / V_i(r) dr$$

where $V_i(r)$ is the estimated variance of $\tilde{K}_i(r) - \tilde{K}(r)$. This is given by

$$V_i(r) = s^2(r) \left(\frac{1}{w_{i\cdot}} - \frac{1}{w_{\cdot\cdot}} \right) .$$

In the setting of two classification factors A and B, the statistic for testing for an A effect allowing for a B effect is

$$T_A = \sum_{i=1}^a n_{i\cdot} \int_{r_0}^{r_1} (\tilde{K}_{i\cdot}(r) - \tilde{K}(r))^2 / V_{Ai}(r) dr$$

where $V_{Ai}(r)$ is the estimated variance of $\tilde{K}_{i\cdot}(r) - \tilde{K}(r)$. This is given by

$$V_{Ai}(r) = \tilde{s}_i^2(r) \left(\frac{1}{w_{i\cdot\cdot}} - \frac{2}{w_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}} \right) + \frac{1}{w_{\cdot\cdot\cdot}} \sum_{\ell=1}^a \frac{w_{i\cdot\ell}}{w_{\cdot\cdot\ell}} \tilde{s}_\ell^2(r) .$$

The foregoing expression may be re-written, more compactly, and in a form which makes it more obvious that the quantity is positive, as:

$$V_{Ai}(r) = \frac{1}{w_{\dots}} \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^a \zeta_{i\ell} \times \tilde{s}_{\ell}^2(r) \right]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{\ell}^2(r) &= \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{w_{\ell j \cdot}}{w_{\ell \cdot \cdot}} s_j^2(r), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, a, \\ \zeta_{i\ell} &= \begin{cases} \nu_{\ell} & \ell \neq i \\ \frac{(\nu_i - 1)^2}{\nu_i} & \ell = i \end{cases} \\ \nu_{\ell} &= \frac{w_{\ell \cdot \cdot}}{w_{\dots}}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, a. \end{aligned}$$

In the setting in which there are two classification factors and we are testing for interaction, against an additive models, the test statistic is

$$T_{AB} = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b n_{ij} \int_{r_0}^{r_1} (\tilde{K}_{ij \cdot}(r) - \tilde{K}_{i \cdot \cdot}(r) - \tilde{K}_{\cdot j \cdot}(r) + \tilde{K}(r))^2 / V_{ij}^{AB}(r) dr$$

where $V_{ij}^{AB}(r)$ is the (sample) variance of $\tilde{K}_{ij \cdot}(r) - \tilde{K}_{i \cdot \cdot}(r) - \tilde{K}_{\cdot j \cdot}(r) + \tilde{K}(r)$. The function $V_{ij}^{AB}(r)$ is even messier than $V_i^A(r)$. It is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ij}^{AB}(r) &= s_{ij \cdot}^2(r) \left(\frac{1}{w_{ij \cdot}} - \frac{2}{w_{i \cdot \cdot}} - \frac{2}{w_{\cdot j \cdot}} + \frac{2w_{ij \cdot}}{w_{i \cdot \cdot} w_{\cdot j \cdot}} + \frac{2}{w_{\dots}} \right) + \\ &\tilde{s}_{i \cdot \cdot}^2(r) \left(\frac{1}{w_{i \cdot \cdot}} - \frac{2}{w_{\dots}} \right) + \tilde{s}_{\cdot j \cdot}^2(r) \left(\frac{1}{w_{\cdot j \cdot}} - \frac{2}{w_{\dots}} \right) + \frac{\tilde{s}^2(r)}{w_{\dots}} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{s}_{i \cdot \cdot}^2(r) &= \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{w_{ij \cdot}}{w_{i \cdot \cdot}} s_{ij \cdot}^2(r) \\ \tilde{s}_{\cdot j \cdot}^2(r) &= \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{w_{ij \cdot}}{w_{\cdot j \cdot}} s_{ij \cdot}^2(r) \text{ and} \\ \tilde{s}^2(r) &= \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{w_{ij \cdot}}{w_{\dots}} s_{ij \cdot}^2(r). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Note that (1) is just (4), and (2) is just (3) (see below) with population quantities replaced by sample (estimated) quantities.
Here are some (terse) details about the variance of $\tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r) - \tilde{K}_{i\cdot\cdot}(r) - \tilde{K}_{\cdot j\cdot}(r) + \tilde{K}(r)$ as given by (4).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r)) &= \frac{\sigma_{ij\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{ij\cdot}} \\ \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{i\cdot\cdot}(r)) &= \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{i\cdot\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{i\cdot\cdot}} \\ \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{\cdot j\cdot}(r)) &= \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{\cdot j\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{\cdot j\cdot}} \\ \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{\dots}(r)) &= \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2(r)}{w_{\dots}} \\ \text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r), \tilde{K}_{i\cdot\cdot}(r)) &= \frac{\sigma_{ij\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{i\cdot\cdot}} \\ \text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r), \tilde{K}_{\cdot j\cdot}(r)) &= \frac{\sigma_{ij\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{\cdot j\cdot}} \\ \text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{ij\cdot}(r), \tilde{K}_{\dots}(r)) &= \frac{\sigma_{ij\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{\dots}} \\ \text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{i\cdot\cdot}(r), \tilde{K}_{\cdot j\cdot}(r)) &= \frac{w_{ij\cdot}\sigma_{ij\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{i\cdot\cdot}w_{\cdot j\cdot}} \\ \text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{i\cdot\cdot}(r), \tilde{K}_{\dots}(r)) &= \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{i\cdot\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{\dots}} \\ \text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{\cdot j\cdot}(r), \tilde{K}_{\dots}(r)) &= \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{\cdot j\cdot}^2(r)}{w_{\dots}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{i\cdot\cdot}^2(r) &= \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{w_{ij\cdot}}{w_{i\cdot\cdot}} \sigma_{ij\cdot}^2(r) \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{\cdot j\cdot}^2(r) &= \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{w_{ij\cdot}}{w_{\cdot j\cdot}} \sigma_{ij\cdot}^2(r) \text{ and} \\ \tilde{\sigma}^2(r) &= \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{w_{ij\cdot}}{w_{\dots}} \sigma_{ij\cdot}^2(r) . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Sample calculation: to see that $\text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{ij.}(r), \tilde{K}_{i..}) = \sigma_{ij}^2/w_{i..}$, note that $\tilde{K}_{i..}(r)$ is a weighted sum over ℓ , of terms $\tilde{K}_{i\ell.}(r)$. The K -functions involved correspond to independent patterns, and so are likewise independent. Consequently $\tilde{K}_{ij.}(r)$ is independent of $\tilde{K}_{i\ell.}(r)$, and the corresponding covariances are 0, except when $\ell = j$. We thus get only a single non-zero term from the sum of the covariances, explicitly

$$\text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{ij.}(r), \frac{w_{ij.}}{w_{i..}} \tilde{K}_{ij.}) = \frac{w_{ij.}}{w_{i..}} \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{ij.}) = \frac{w_{ij.}}{w_{i..}} \frac{\sigma_{ij}^2}{w_{ij.}} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}^2}{w_{i..}} .$$

Finally we can obtain the variance term of interest, which is $\text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{ij.}(r) - \tilde{K}_{i..}(r) - \tilde{K}_{j..}(r) + \tilde{K}_{...}(r))$. This expression is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{ij.}(r)) + \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{i..}(r)) + \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{j..}(r)) + \text{Var}(\tilde{K}_{...}(r)) \\ & - 2\text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{ij.}(r), \tilde{K}_{i..}(r)) - 2\text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{ij.}(r), \tilde{K}_{j..}(r)) + 2\text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{ij.}(r), \tilde{K}_{...}(r)) \\ & + 2\text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{i..}(r), \tilde{K}_{j..}(r)) - 2\text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{i..}(r), \tilde{K}_{...}(r)) \\ & - 2\text{Cov}(\tilde{K}_{j..}(r), \tilde{K}_{...}(r)) . \end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms in the foregoing expression, and using the previously stated symbolic representations of these terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{ij.}^2(r) \left(\frac{1}{w_{ij.}} - \frac{2}{w_{i..}} - \frac{2}{w_{j.}} + \frac{2w_{ij.}}{w_{i..}w_{j.}} + \frac{2}{w_{...}} \right) + \\ & \tilde{\sigma}_{i.}(r) \left(\frac{1}{w_{i..}} - \frac{2}{w_{...}} \right) + \tilde{\sigma}_{.j}(r) \left(\frac{1}{w_{j.}} - \frac{2}{w_{...}} \right) + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(r)}{w_{...}} . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Replacing the population variances by their corresponding estimates (sample quantities) we obtain (1).

References

- Peter J. Diggle, Jorge Mateu, and Helen E. Clough. A comparison between parametric and non-parametric approaches to the analysis of replicated spatial point patterns. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 32:331 – 343, 2000.
- Ute Hahn. A studentized permutation test for the comparison of spatial point patterns. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 107(498): 754 – 764, 2012. DOI: 10.1080/01621459.2012.688463.