

The meuse data set: a tutorial for the **gstat** R package

Edzer J. Pebesma

January 22, 2006

1 Introduction

The **meuse** data set is a data set comprising of four heavy metals measured in the top soil in a flood plain along the river Meuse. The governing process seems that polluted sediment is carried by the river, and mostly deposited close to the river bank. This document shows a geostatistical analysis of this data set.

This tutorial introduced the functionality of the R package **gstat**, used in conjunction with package **sp**. Package **gstat** provides a wide range of univariable and multivariable geostatistical modelling, prediction and simulation functions, where package **sp** provides general purpose classes and methods for defining, importing/exporting and visualizing spatial data.

2 R geostatistics packages

Package **gstat** (Pebesma, 2004) is an R package that provides basic functionality for univariable and multivariable geostatistical analysis, including

- variogram modelling, residual variogram modelling, and cross variogram modelling using fitting of parametric models to sample variograms
- geometric anisotropy specified for each partial variogram model
- restricted maximum likelihood fitting of partial sills
- variogram and cross variogram maps
- simple, ordinary, universal and external drift (co)kriging
- (sequential) Gaussian (co)simulation equivalents for each of the kriging varieties
- indicator (co)kriging and sequential indicator (co)simulation
- kriging in a local or global neighbourhood
- block (co)kriging or simulation for each of the varieties, for rectangular or irregular blocks

Other geostatistical packages for R usually lack part of these options (e.g. block kriging, local kriging, or cokriging) but provide others: e.g. package `geoR` and `geoRglm` (by Paulo Ribeiro and Ole Christensen) provide the model-based geostatistics framework described in Diggle et al. (1998), package `fields` (Doug Nychka and others) provides thin plate spline interpolation, covariance functions for spherical coordinates (unprojected data), and routines for network design optimization.

3 Spatial data frames

Package `gstat` assumes that data are projected, i.e. they should not be provided as latitude/longitude. As an example, we will look at the `meuse` data set, which is a regular data frame that comes with package `gstat`:

```
> library(gstat)

Loading required package: sp

> data(meuse)
> class(meuse)

[1] "data.frame"

> names(meuse)

[1] "x"      "y"      "cadmium" "copper" "lead"    "zinc"    "elev"
[8] "dist"   "om"     "ffreq"   "soil"   "lime"    "landuse" "dist.m"

> coordinates(meuse) = ~x + y
> class(meuse)

[1] "SpatialPointsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"

> summary(meuse)

Object of class SpatialPointsDataFrame
Coordinates:
      min      max
x 178605 181390
y 329714 333611
Is projected: NA
proj4string : [NA]
Number of points: 155
Data attributes:
      cadmium      copper      lead      zinc
Min.   : 0.200   Min.   : 14.00   Min.   : 37.0   Min.   : 113.0
1st Qu.: 0.800   1st Qu.: 23.00   1st Qu.: 72.5   1st Qu.: 198.0
Median : 2.100   Median : 31.00   Median :123.0   Median : 326.0
Mean   : 3.246   Mean    : 40.32   Mean    :153.4   Mean    : 469.7
```

```

3rd Qu.: 3.850    3rd Qu.: 49.50    3rd Qu.:207.0    3rd Qu.: 674.5
Max.      :18.100    Max.      :128.00    Max.      :654.0    Max.      :1839.0

```

```

      elev      dist      om      ffreq  soil  lime
Min.   : 5.180   Min.   :0.00000   Min.   : 1.000   1:84   1:97   0:111
1st Qu.: 7.546   1st Qu.:0.07569   1st Qu.: 5.300   2:48   2:46   1: 44
Median : 8.180   Median :0.21184   Median : 6.900   3:23   3:12
Mean   : 8.165   Mean   :0.24002   Mean   : 7.478
3rd Qu.: 8.955   3rd Qu.:0.36407   3rd Qu.: 9.000
Max.   :10.520   Max.   :0.88039   Max.   :17.000
NA's   : 2.000

```

```

      landuse      dist.m
W       :50   Min.    : 10.0
Ah      :39   1st Qu.: 80.0
Am      :22   Median : 270.0
Fw      :10   Mean   : 290.3
Ab       : 8   3rd Qu.: 450.0
(Other):25   Max.    :1000.0
NA's    : 1

```


```
> coordinates(meuse)[1:5, ]
```

```

      x      y
[1,] 181072 333611
[2,] 181025 333558
[3,] 181165 333537
[4,] 181298 333484
[5,] 181307 333330

```

```
> bubble(meuse, "zinc", col = c("#00ff0088", "#00ff0088"), main = "zinc concentrations (ppm)")
```



and note the following:

1. the function `coordinates`, when assigned (i.e. on the left-hand side of an `=` or `<-` sign), promotes the `data.frame` meuse into a `SpatialPointsDataFrame`, which knows about its spatial coordinates; coordinates may be specified by a formula, a character vector, or a numeric matrix or data frame with the actual coordinates
2. the function `coordinates`, when not assigned, *retrieves* the spatial coordinates from a `SpatialPointsDataFrame`.
3. the two plotting functions used, `plot` and `bubble` assume that the x - and y -axis are the spatial coordinates, and choose the aspect ratio of the axes such that one unit in x equals one unit in y (i.e., data are map data, projected).

4 Spatial data on a regular grid

```
> data(meuse.grid)
> summary(meuse.grid)
```

x	y	part.a	part.b
Min. :178460	Min. :329620	Min. :0.0000	Min. :0.0000
1st Qu.:179420	1st Qu.:330460	1st Qu.:0.0000	1st Qu.:0.0000
Median :179980	Median :331220	Median :0.0000	Median :1.0000
Mean :179985	Mean :331348	Mean :0.3986	Mean :0.6014
3rd Qu.:180580	3rd Qu.:332140	3rd Qu.:1.0000	3rd Qu.:1.0000

Max.	:181540	Max.	:333740	Max.	:1.0000	Max.	:1.0000
	dist		soil		ffreq		
Min.	:0.0000	Min.	:1.000	1:	779		
1st Qu.:	0.1193	1st Qu.:	1.000	2:	1335		
Median	:0.2715	Median	:1.000	3:	989		
Mean	:0.2971	Mean	:1.578				
3rd Qu.:	0.4402	3rd Qu.:	2.000				
Max.	:0.9926	Max.	:3.000				

```

> class(meuse.grid)

[1] "data.frame"

> coordinates(meuse.grid) = ~x + y
> class(meuse.grid)

[1] "SpatialPointsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"

> gridded(meuse.grid) = TRUE
> class(meuse.grid)

[1] "SpatialPixelsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"

> image(meuse.grid["dist"])
> title("distance to river (red = 0)")
> zinc.idw = krige(zinc ~ 1, meuse, meuse.grid)

[inverse distance weighted interpolation]


> class(zinc.idw)

[1] "SpatialPixelsDataFrame"
attr(,"package")
[1] "sp"


> spplot(zinc.idw["var1.pred"], main = "zinc inverse distance weighted interpolations")

```

distance to river (red = 0)




zinc inverse distance weighted interpolations



If you compare the bubble plot of zinc measurements with the map with distances to the river, it becomes evident that the larger concentrations are measured at locations close to the river. This relationship can be linearized by

log-transforming the zinc concentrations, and taking the square root of distance to the river:

```
> plot(log(zinc) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse)
> abline(lm(log(zinc) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse))
```



5 Variograms

Variograms are calculated using the function `variogram`, which takes a formula as its first argument: `log(zinc)~1` means that we assume a constant trend for the variable `log(zinc)`.

```
> lzn.vgm = variogram(log(zinc) ~ 1, meuse)
> lzn.vgm
```

	np	dist	gamma	dir.hor	dir.ver	id
1	57	79.29244	0.1234479	0	0	var1
2	299	163.97367	0.2162185	0	0	var1
3	419	267.36483	0.3027859	0	0	var1
4	457	372.73542	0.4121448	0	0	var1
5	547	478.47670	0.4634128	0	0	var1
6	533	585.34058	0.5646933	0	0	var1
7	574	693.14526	0.5689683	0	0	var1
8	564	796.18365	0.6186769	0	0	var1
9	589	903.14650	0.6471479	0	0	var1
10	543	1011.29177	0.6915705	0	0	var1

```


11 500 1117.86235 0.7033984      0      0 var1
12 477 1221.32810 0.6038770      0      0 var1
13 452 1329.16407 0.6517158      0      0 var1
14 457 1437.25620 0.5665318      0      0 var1
15 415 1543.20248 0.5748227      0      0 var1

> lzn.fit = fit.variogram(lzn.vgm, model = vgm(1, "Sph", 900, 1))
> lzn.fit

    model    psill    range
1   Nug 0.05066243  0.0000
2   Sph 0.59060780 897.0209

> plot(lzn.vgm, lzn.fit)

```



Instead of the constant mean, denoted by ~ 1 , we can specify a mean function, e.g. using `~sqrt(dist)` as a predictor variable:


```

> lznr.vgm = variogram(log(zinc) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse)
> lznr.fit = fit.variogram(lznr.vgm, model = vgm(1, "Exp", 300,
+      1))
> lznr.fit

    model    psill    range
1   Nug 0.05712231  0.0000
2   Exp 0.17641559 340.3201

> plot(lznr.vgm, lznr.fit)

```


In this case, the variogram of residuals with respect to a fitted mean function are shown. Residuals were calculated using ordinary least squares.

6 Kriging

```
> lzn.krige = krige(log(zinc) ~ 1, meuse, meuse.grid, model = lzn.fit)

[using ordinary kriging]

> spplot(lzn.krige["var1.pred"])
```




7 Conditional simulation

```
> lzn.condsim = krige(log(zinc) ~ 1, meuse, meuse.grid, model = lzn.fit,  
+   nmax = 30, nsim = 4)
```

```
drawing 4 GLS realisations of beta...  
[using conditional Gaussian simulation]
```

```
> spplot(lzn.condsim, main = "three conditional simulations")
```




For UK/residuals:

```
> lzn.condsim2 = krige(log(zinc) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse, meuse.grid,
+   model = lznr.fit, nmax = 30, nsim = 4)
```

drawing 4 GLS realisations of beta...
[using conditional Gaussian simulation]


```
> spplot(lzn.condsim2, main = "three UK conditional simulations")
```



8 Directional variograms

The following command calculates a directional sample variogram, where directions are binned by direction angle alone. For two point pairs, $Z(s)$ and $Z(s+h)$, the separation vector is h , and it has a direction. Here, we will classify directions into four direction intervals:

```
> lzn.dir = variogram(log(zinc) ~ 1, meuse, alpha = c(0, 45, 90,
+ 135))
> lzn.dir.fit = vgm(0.59, "Sph", 1200, 0.05, anis = c(45, 0.4))
> plot(lzn.dir, lzn.dir.fit, as.table = TRUE)
```



Looking at directions between 180 and 360 degrees will repeat the image shown above, because the variogram is a symmetric measure: $(Z(s) - Z(s + h))^2 = (Z(s + h) - Z(s))^2$.

The first plot gives the variogram in the zero direction, which is North; 90 degrees is East. By default, point pairs are assigned to the directional variogram panel with their nearest direction, so North contains everything between -22.5 and 22.5 degrees (North-West to North-East). After classifying by direction, point pairs are binned by separation distance class, as is done in the usual omnidirectional case.


In the figure, the partial sill, nugget and model type of the model are equal to those of the omnidirectional model fitted above; the range is that in the direction with the largest range (45°), and the anisotropy ratio, the range in the 135 direction and the range in the 45 direction, estimated “by eye” by comparing the 45 and 135 degrees sample variograms. Gstat does not fit anisotropy parameters automatically.

We do not claim that the model fitted here is “best” in some way; in order to get to a better model we may want to look at more directions, other directions (e.g. try `alpha = c(22, 67, 112, 157)`), and to variogram maps (see below). More elaborate approaches may use directions in three dimensions, and want to further control the direction tolerance (which may be set such that direction intervals overlap).

For the residual variogram from the linear regression model using `sqrt(dist)` as covariate, the directional dependence is much less obvious; the fitted model here is the fitted isotropic model (equal in all directions).

```
> lznr.dir = variogram(log(zinc) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse, alpha = c(0,
+ 45, 90, 135))
```


```
> plot(lznr.dir, lznr.fit, as.table = TRUE)
```



9 Variogram maps

Another means of looking at directional dependence in semivariograms is obtained by looking at variogram maps. Instead of classifying point pairs $Z(s)$ and $Z(s+h)$ by direction and distance class *separately*, we can classify them *jointly*. If $h = \{x, y\}$ be the two-dimensional coordinates of the separation vector, in the variogram map the semivariance contribution of each point pair $(Z(s) - Z(s+h))^2$ is attributed to the grid cell in which h lies. The map is centered around $(0,0)$, as h is geographical distance rather than geographical location. Cutoff and width correspond to some extent to map extent and cell size; the semivariance map is point symmetric around $(0,0)$, as $\gamma(h) = \gamma(-h)$.

```
> vgm.map = variogram(log(zinc) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse, cutoff = 1500,
+   width = 100, map = TRUE)
> plot(vgm.map, threshold = 5)
```



The threshold assures that only semivariogram map values based on at least 5 point pairs are shown, removing too noisy estimation.

10 Cross variography

Fitting a linear model of coregionalization.

```
> g = gstat(NULL, "log(zn)", log(zinc) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse)
> g = gstat(g, "log(cd)", log(cadmium) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse)
> g = gstat(g, "log(pb)", log(lead) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse)
> g = gstat(g, "log(cu)", log(copper) ~ sqrt(dist), meuse)
> v = variogram(g)
> g = gstat(g, model = vgm(1, "Exp", 300, 1), fill.all = TRUE)
> g.fit = fit.lmc(v, g)
> g.fit
```

data:

```
log(zn) : formula = log(zinc)~~sqrt(dist) ; data dim = 155 x 12
log(cd) : formula = log(cadmium)~~sqrt(dist) ; data dim = 155 x 12
log(pb) : formula = log(lead)~~sqrt(dist) ; data dim = 155 x 12
log(cu) : formula = log(copper)~~sqrt(dist) ; data dim = 155 x 12
```

variograms:


	model	psill	range
log(zn) [1]	Nug	0.05141798	0
log(zn) [2]	Exp	0.17556219	300
log(cd) [1]	Nug	0.39996573	0
log(cd) [2]	Exp	0.47893816	300


log(pb) [1]	Nug	0.04770893	0
log(pb) [2]	Exp	0.21323027	300
log(cu) [1]	Nug	0.04577523	0
log(cu) [2]	Exp	0.07827374	300
log(zn).log(cd) [1]	Nug	0.09190848	0
log(zn).log(cd) [2]	Exp	0.24542024	300
log(zn).log(pb) [1]	Nug	0.04528367	0
log(zn).log(pb) [2]	Exp	0.18407011	300
log(cd).log(pb) [1]	Nug	0.06425412	0
log(cd).log(pb) [2]	Exp	0.25525359	300
log(zn).log(cu) [1]	Nug	0.02912806	0
log(zn).log(cu) [2]	Exp	0.10438748	300
log(cd).log(cu) [1]	Nug	0.09441635	0
log(cd).log(cu) [2]	Exp	0.13073936	300
log(pb).log(cu) [1]	Nug	0.02369778	0
log(pb).log(cu) [2]	Exp	0.10267516	300

```

> plot(v, g.fit)
> vgm.map = variogram(g, cutoff = 1500, width = 100, map = TRUE)
> plot(vgm.map, threshold = 5, col.regions = bpy.colors(), xlab = "",
+      ylab = "")

```





References

- Diggle, P.J., J.A. Tawn, R.A. Moyeed, 1998. Model-based geostatistics. *Applied Statistics* 47(3), pp 299-350.
- Pebesma, E.J., 2004. Multivariable geostatistics in S: the gstat package. *Computers & Geosciences* 30: 683-691.
- Wackernagel, H., 1998. *Multivariate Geostatistics; an introduction with applications*, 2nd edn., Springer, Berlin, 291 pp.